Wikipedia Project Rubric for Review

Student_____   **ARTICLE TITLE**_____

1. ORIGINALITY   ____Original ____ Major edited ____ Reorganized with minor edited

Scoring ==> 1=Unacceptable; 2=Weak; 3=Fair; 4=Good; 5=Excellent   **Score (1-5)**

2. APPROPRIATENESS to Wikipedia   (Scope)
The article fits within the scope of the topic and the guidelines of wikipedia   _____

3. SOURCES
Sufficient references added: **_____**# of sources

External links:**_____**# of Links

Citations are correctly formatted   _____
The sources are appropriate to support the content of the article   _____
The sources are of quality and are sufficient to support the content of the article   _____

4. CONNECTIONS
 Branches in _____   Branches out: _____

Added categories:

See Also:

The internal and external links add meaning and demonstrate understanding of
where the article fits within a larger schema in Wikipedia.   _____

5. TARGET
The article demonstrates an understanding of the intended target   _____

6. TONE
The article is written with the appropriate tone for Wikipedia   _____

7. OVERALL IMPROVEMENT
The work done is of quality and shows sufficient effort and technical detail   _____

8. WIKI COMMUNITY FEEDBACK

OTHER COMMENTS

Wikipedia Rubric Explanation

1. ORIGINALITY   ____Original   ____ Major edited   ____ Reorganized with minor edited

Originality refers to the amount of material that already exists for your page. The more new content you add the more original your page is judged to be. The less new material that is added to your page the more it is an edit. Wikipedia classifies edits as major and minor based upon your level of addition and reorganization. It is important to note that if a page exists it is easier to have it remain on Wikipedia though your edits may still be marked for deletion.

2. APPROPRIATENESS to Wikipedia   (Scope)

Wikipedia is meant to be an encyclopedia and so judges its entries for notability objectivity, and whether the article fits within the scope of the topic to which it is related. It also restricts any content that is promotional or opinionated. Wikipedia has guidelines for they types of material it accepts and the language that can be used.

3. SOURCES

References refer to the material you use to support the content that is added and cited in the article and listed in the further readings section. External links are the set of links given to external sources of information that support or relate to the page. For both of these sources, you need to use proper citations in an accepted form. The sources must be both appropriate to support the content of the article and be of quality and are sufficient to support the content of the article as discussed in class.

4. CONNECTIONS

The branches into and out of your article should add meaning and demonstrate understanding of where the article fits within a larger schema in Wikipedia. The list of categories shows how the article fits into wikipedia projects and the major division in wikipedia. The See Also sections shows how the target of the page may desire to read topics that are not directly connected to the article but are still relevant to the topic.

5. TARGET

The article demonstrates an understanding of the intended target for the level of detail and content included. Some additions and edits may change the target of an article and thus change the intent of what is written and the position of the article in the larger schema of Wikipedia.

6. TONE

The article is written with the appropriate tone for Wikipedia. It should be objective, informative, and balanced.

7. OVERALL IMPROVEMENT

The work done is of quality and shows sufficient effort and technical detail. There is no one right way to edit the article as each article poses its own set of challenges that must be understood to make the improvements.

8. WIKI COMMUNITY FEEDBACK

Since Wikipedia is open to a larger community, all edits are subject to comment and revision by that entire community. It is important to see the feedback or lack-there-of for your page at the outset and be prepared for the feedback that might arise as you make your edits.   When making your edits it is important to judge the quality of comments made and make appropriate adjustments accordingly. Each comment must be judged on its own merits In addition, Wikipedia uses automated 'bots' to review content and so some of your edits may be marked or deleted without justification or notification.